AUTHORIZATION REQUEST FOR FY 2020

CBB Budget Category: **Research**

Name of Contractor: **National Cattlemen’s Beef Association**

Name of Organization Subcontracting:

Start Date: **10/1/2019**

End Date: **9/30/2022**

**AR OVERVIEW**

**AR Description:**

Consumers’ expectations are changing. They no longer want just safe, healthy, affordable food – they want food that tastes great, helps fuel their day and that they can feel good about. At the same time, consumers have access to more protein options than ever before. From traditional proteins to alternative proteins that are hitting the market, consumers are bombarded by a variety of food choices each day. Now, more than ever before, the checkoff needs to proactively position beef as the number one protein or risk losing share of stomach to another protein. To accomplish this, we will continue building on the successful strategy established in FY2018 and FY2019.

All of the tactics in this authorization request work towards two common goals:

1) Positioning beef as the number one protein.

2) Track brand awareness of the checkoff’s iconic brand, *Beef. It’s What’s For Dinner*. NCBA believes that the way we do this is by focusing all programming and messaging around beef’s unique and core attributes:

   - People – capitalizing on the amazing Americana and stories of people who raise beef today
   - Protein – showcase the powerhouse of nutrients, including protein, that beef provides
   - Pleasure – remind people of the unbeatable pleasure that beef brings to meals

In FY2020, all of NCBA’s programming efforts will focus on creating a surround-sound communications approach to reaching consumers – targeting the older millennial parent as the bullseye – through a series of campaigns focused on people, protein and pleasure. These campaigns will run throughout the fiscal year and allow the *Beef. It’s What’s For Dinner* brand to focus on beef’s core strengths, while also being nimble enough to talk about different aspects of beef during various moments in time.
As a result, all tactics in this AR contribute expertise to other checkoff programs, state partners and/or global industry influencers/stakeholders in order to position beef as the top protein. In particular, these tactics provide ongoing counsel and support for the state national beef council partnership to ensure synergy across programs at the national and state level. Sharing program results with a variety of audiences from stakeholders (including state beef councils and producers) to influencers to consumers is critical to demonstrate the beef checkoff’s contributions to the beef industry’s success. Given this expertise, attending and traveling to/from key influencer/stakeholder/partner meetings may be required to provide or gain more strategic guidance, training, briefings and/or education in addition to disseminating knowledge and funds in this AR may be used to implement current and previously funded ARs. To accomplish this, it may be necessary for the checkoff to fund international travel to or from the U.S. Also note that legal counsel may be sought for routine, day-to-day content development to ensure compliance with federal rules or regulations.

### Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Direct Costs</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBB/BPOC Funding Request:</td>
<td>$3,886,203</td>
<td>$4,493,400</td>
<td>$8,379,603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Potential Funding</th>
<th>Direct Costs</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federation of SBCs Pledges: (Informational Only)</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Funding: (Informational Only)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The Federation funds are placeholders only and subject to change. The NCBA Executive Committee will have jurisdiction and approval over how these funds will be applied to checkoff programs in FY2020.

NOTE: For fiscal year 2020, if the threat of legal challenge does not impact State Beef Council investments, approximately $5 million will be voluntarily invested by SBCs to supplement NCBA’s ARs. This assumes the underlying ARs are funded at a sufficient level to execute the core elements of the program. The supplemental funding will be used consistent with the principles outlined in the AR and deliverables for the industry will increase accordingly.

### Long Range Plan Core Strategies Addressed by this AR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grow Beef Exports</th>
<th>Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Protect &amp; Enhance</th>
<th>Beef's Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Digital properties and target audience(s) addressed by this AR:

The following digital platforms and targets are addressed through this authorization request:

**All Audiences – Consumer, Influencer, Supply Chain, Producer, State Partners:**

- BeefItsWhatsForDinner.com
- BeefItsWhatsForDinner Instagram account
- *Beef. It’s What’s For Dinner.* Facebook
- *Beef. It’s What’s For Dinner.* YouTube channel
- *Beef. It’s What’s For Dinner.* Pinterest page
- *Beef. It’s What’s For Dinner.* LinkedIn page
- Beef So Simple
- BeefResearch.org
- Beef News Now
- Beef.widencollective.com
- BIFSCo.org
- Beef News Now
- @Beef Twitter handle
- Team Beef Facebook page
- Masters of Beef Advocacy Facebook page
PROGRAM INFORMATION FOR THIS AR

Tactic A

Tactic Name: Safety Research and Scientific Affairs

Tactic Description:
NCBA, on behalf of the beef checkoff, builds the science-based evidence through research to inform beef safety decisions throughout the supply chain. Program results also inform communications about the industry’s commitment to ensuring the safety of beef.

Results generated through this program are targeted at the scientific community including academia, beef safety decision makers throughout the industry (feedlot operators, packers, processors, retail, foodservice) and regulatory sectors as well as state beef councils and other checkoff program areas that communicate or manage beef safety issues (pre- and post-harvest).

Over time, this program has evolved from a single pathogen focus (E. coli) to address several potentially harmful bacterial, chemical or physical threats to beef safety. Today, consumers expect beef to be safe from pathogens and other safety threats, but also that the beef industry takes steps to understand if and/or how antibiotic use impacts the development of antimicrobial resistance and if needed, take action to reduce the potential risk to human health. Research in this program has been used to respond to inquiries from the industry, media, regulatory and other influencers about industry’s commitment and progress toward addressing these consumer/influencer concerns.

Safety research projects will be funded to understand and/or reduce the safety threats by chemical or known pathogens or by antimicrobial use (current or new alternatives) and resistance formation or transfer that may impact human health. Research outcomes will be shared with advisory groups developing safety guidance, the scientific and regulatory communities in forums/symposia (i.e., Beef Industry Safety Summit and other scientific forums), through written materials (i.e., manuscripts, fact sheets or literature reviews), visual tools (i.e., web content) or in-person meetings. Strategic planning sessions will be conducted as needed to document beef safety research gaps.

Measurable Objectives (List at least three outcome-based objectives for this tactic):

- Conduct a minimum of two original scientific research projects focused on pre-harvest challenges to beef safety.
- Showcase safety research program content through two avenues such as the Beef Industry Safety Summit, scientific conferences, peer review articles, and/or forums hosted by other entities. The goals are to influence industry adoption of new scientific evidence and to demonstrate the industry’s commitment to improving beef safety.
- Leverage a minimum of two beef safety research results across at least two tactical areas of the beef science communications framework.
Performance Efficiency Measures

Consumer Reach Goal:

Consumer Engagement Goal:

Voice/KOL Reach Goal:

Voice/KOL Engagement Goal:

**LRP Strategic Initiatives Addressed by this Tactic (Check all that apply)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drive Export Growth</th>
<th>Grow Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Protect &amp; Enhance Business Climate</th>
<th>Beef’s Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Adopt animal I.D. traceability systems</td>
<td>☐ Ensure antibiotic stewardship</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; innovate new production technologies</td>
<td>☐ Revolutionize beef marketing &amp; merchandising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Increase market access</td>
<td>☐ Certify &amp; verify production practices</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef’s inclusion in dietary recommendations</td>
<td>☐ Measure &amp; improve sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Promote unique attributes of U.S. beef</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef safety</td>
<td>☐ Motivate producers &amp; stakeholders to engage in issues</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; communicate beef’s nutritional benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Engage beef advocates</td>
<td>☐ Protect beef’s image</td>
<td>☐ Develop crises management plans</td>
<td>☐ Connect &amp; communicate directly with consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Engage beef advocates</td>
<td>☐ Engage beef advocates</td>
<td>☐ Defend beef’s product identity</td>
<td>☐ Improve our product</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Committee(s) to Score this Tactic (Check all that apply)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Export Growth</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>Nutrition &amp; Health</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Investor Relations</th>
<th>Mkt. Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tactic B

Tactic Name: Nutrition Research and Scientific Affairs

Tactic Description:

NCBA, on behalf of the beef checkoff, leads research on beef’s role in a healthy diet and shares it with the scientific community. This work is used to ensure beef nutrition communications are based on sound science and also becomes part of the evidence base accessed for dietary recommendations. As the only beef centric U.S. based nutrition research initiative on understanding beef’s positive role in health, this program uniquely contributes to the “body of science” needed to promote, protect and defend beef’s role in health.

This program is targeted to the scientific community including those in academia, government and health organizations (such as American Heart Association), scientific associations (American Society for Nutrition and Institute of Food Technologists), other checkoff programs, state beef council partners, and industry (corporate scientists in food and/or health and wellness companies).

Since the 1920's, beef checkoff nutrition research has contributed important discoveries about beef's role in health. Recently, this program has demonstrated beef’s positive role in healthy diets across the lifespan, such as: beef’s nourishing role in the early years; beef’s role in preserving muscles through aging and how beef can be the protein of choice in diets recommended for weight management, heart health and diabetes. Checkoff research also has been used to effectively refute hypothesis about a proposed relationship between meat and cancer.

Nutrition research will be funded on the role of beef in diets for supporting healthier metabolisms, reducing disease risk, strength and performance, and its impact as a source of nourishment across the lifespan. Emerging or hot scientific topics such as plant-based diets and sustainable nutrition will be monitored and addressed through scientific communications, and research as needed. Research findings will be shared with the scientific community, including advisory groups developing nutrition guidance, in scientific forums (symposia), written materials (public comments, manuscripts, fact sheets) visual tools (infographics, video, web content) and in-person briefings. Strategic planning sessions will be conducted as needed to document beef human nutrition research gaps.
Measurable Objectives (List at least three outcome-based objectives for this tactic):

- Conduct a minimum of two original human nutrition research studies on healthy diets, where beef is used as the primary source of dietary protein.
- Participate in the scientific review process of the Dietary Guideline for Americans by submitting oral/or written comments on beef’s role in healthy diets.
- Maintain engagement at 45% in 2020 of the top 100 Nutrition Science Influencers (as identified by historical data monitoring).
- Leverage a minimum of two human nutrition research results across at least two tactical areas of the beef science communications framework.

LRP Strategic Initiatives Addressed by this Tactic (Check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drive Export Growth</th>
<th>Grow Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Protect &amp; Enhance Business Climate</th>
<th>Beef’s Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Adopt traceability systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Increase market access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Promote unique attributes of U.S. beef</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Ensure antibiotic stewardship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Certify &amp; verify production practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Ensure beef safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Protect beef’s image</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Engage beef advocates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Research &amp; innovate new production tech.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Ensure beef’s inclusion in dietary recommendations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Motivate producers &amp; stakeholders to engage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Develop crises management plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Attract, develop &amp; enable the next generation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Defend beef’s product identity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Revolutionize beef marketing &amp; merchandising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Measure &amp; improve sustainability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Research &amp; communicate beef’s nutritional benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Connect &amp; comm. with consumers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Improve product &amp; production efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Committee(s) to Score this Tactic (Check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Export Growth</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>Nutrition &amp; Health</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Investor Relations</th>
<th>Mkt. Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Tactic C**

**Tactic Name:** Product Quality Research and Technical Expertise

**Tactic Description:**

NCBA, on behalf of the beef checkoff, leads strategic development and execution of critical research focused on improving beef’s unique, demand-driving taste attributes and disseminates this research to industry for implementation. As the primary product quality/meat science research focused initiative in the U.S., this program generates unique scientific research to reduce product inconsistencies, and to improve beef eating satisfaction and consumer taste perceptions. Taste is the top demand driver and reason consumers chose beef over other protein options available in the global marketplace.

This foundational program delivers research results and insights to multiple audiences including academic scientists and scientific organizations (i.e., the American Meat Science Association), and technical experts or industry scientists at supply chain partners such as packers, processors, foodservice and retail. Also targeted are other existing checkoff programs (i.e., BIWFD marketing), state beef council partners, and allied industry stakeholders and influencers (i.e., USMEF, USDA AMS, etc.).

This tactic has delivered a significant volume of peer-reviewed meat science research to document how taste develops in beef products and demonstrate methods to improve consistency of taste to reduce product outliers resulting in fewer product failures, greater consumer satisfaction and more repeat beef purchases. Examples of highly-visible research completed by this program include the National Beef Tenderness Survey, development of a beef flavor lexicon to describe unique flavor attributes, and historical muscle profiling research, which led to development and marketing of innovative beef cuts like the Flat Iron Steak. Recent research has documented flavor notes that are critical to consumer satisfaction, along with the chemical compounds innate to beef that deliver these positive flavor notes. And, recent research has benchmarked the flavor profile of today’s consumer-focused beef products.

Product quality research projects will be funded at universities and scientific institutions to improve beef’s quality and taste by addressing the specific attributes of beef flavor, tenderness and juiciness following an industry expert-established product quality research roadmap. More specifically, this will include scientific evaluation of pre- and post-harvest factors (i.e., long aging, increasing carcass weight trends, emerging cooking methods, etc.) that impact the three key beef quality attributes. Industry expert partners will assist in evaluation of research project ideas solicited to address these topics. Research findings will be shared in public conferences (i.e., the annual Reciprocal Meats Conference), written materials (i.e., manuscripts/journal articles, fact sheets, research briefs), visual tools (i.e., web content) and/or in-person meetings.
Strategic planning sessions will be conducted as needed to document beef product quality research gaps.

Additionally, program technical expertise will continue to ensure checkoff programs and external partners have a key point of contact for knowledge on beef products, strategic insight on cut utilization, product inquiry responses, etc. This includes offering numerous cut education sessions and cutting demonstrations to critical stakeholders and beef marketers throughout the fiscal year (i.e., supply chain partners, meat processing groups, state beef council/NEBPI partners, etc.)

**Measurable Objectives** *(List at least three outcome-based objectives for this tactic):*

- Conduct a minimum of three original scientific research projects focused on improving product quality and taste consistency.
- Showcase product quality research program content through a minimum of two avenues including scientific conferences, peer review articles, and the www.beefresearch.org website. The goals are to encourage industry adoption of new taste-focused scientific evidence intended to drive product improvements, and to continue to demonstrate the industry’s commitment to improving beef product quality and taste.
- Leverage a minimum of two product quality research results across at least two tactical areas of the beef science communications framework.

**LRP Strategic Initiatives Addressed by this Tactic** *(Check all that apply)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drive Export Growth</th>
<th>Grow Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Protect &amp; Enhance Business Climate</th>
<th>Beef's Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Adopt traceability systems</td>
<td>☐ Ensure antibiotic stewardship</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; innovate new production tech.</td>
<td>☐ Revolutionize beef marketing &amp; merchandising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Increase market access</td>
<td>☐ Certify &amp; verify production practices</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef’s inclusion in dietary recommendations</td>
<td>☐ Measure &amp; improve sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Promote unique attributes of U.S. beef</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef safety</td>
<td>☐ Motivate producers &amp; stakeholders to engage</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; communicate beef’s nutritional benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Protect beef’s image</td>
<td>☐ Develop crises management plans</td>
<td>☐ Connect &amp; comm. with consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Engage beef advocates</td>
<td>☐ Attract, develop &amp; enable the next generation</td>
<td>☐ Improve product &amp; production efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Defend beef’s product identity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Committee(s) to Score this Tactic** *(Check all that apply)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Export Growth</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>Nutrition &amp; Health</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Investor Relations</th>
<th>Mkt. Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tactic D

Tactic Name: Culinary Research and Technical Expertise

Tactic Description:

NCBA, on behalf of the beef checkoff, provides inspiration for consumers to eat beef more often through recipes, images and other content leveraged through other tactics, partners and state beef council programs. Today’s consumers want to provide meals to their families and friends that capitalize on taste while balancing nutrition and emerging food trends through modern and classic cooking methods to break down perceived barriers to beef’s ease and versatility.

Culinary develops concepts that ultimately target consumers through various audiences (media, influencers, foodservice operators, supermarket foodservice, etc.) and mediums (websites, media, etc.).

Historically, the program has provided beef recipes/recipe photography to be used on BIWFD.com and checkoff social properties and has responded to over 500 requests for recipes/photography annually for food media, industry partners and state beef council program needs. Additionally, culinary expertise and support has been utilized to execute other checkoff programs including immersion experiences with key opinion leaders (influencers), videos for BIWFD.com and state-sponsored programs with a culinary focus.

In 2020, this program will focus on developing culinary resources, including recipes and photography, and will be a technical resource for “how to” prepare beef both using traditional methods and on-trend applications. These resources will be developed and posted to on-line media platforms and will be managed in other ARs and tactics. Additionally, culinary support will be provided for both national and state programs where cooking with beef is a way to connect with a consumer or influencer audiences.

Measurable Objectives (List at least three outcome-based objectives for this tactic):

- Provide culinary expertise to other national or state partner programs that showcase beef’s nutrition, innovation, value, and/or ease of preparation through 5 in-person (demonstrations, panel speaker, etc.) or digital engagements.
- Develop 5 new culinary content pieces (recipe testing, photography or other assets) that serves as inspiration for consumers, influencers or the supply chain to choose beef.
- Leverage a minimum of two culinary insights/content pieces across at least two tactical areas of the beef science communications framework.
LRP Strategic Initiatives Addressed by this Tactic (Check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drive Export Growth</th>
<th>Grow Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Protect &amp; Enhance Business Climate</th>
<th>Beef’s Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Adopt traceability systems</td>
<td>☐ Ensure antibiotic stewardship</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; innovate new production tech.</td>
<td>☐ Revolutionize beef marketing &amp; merchandising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Increase market access</td>
<td>☐ Certify &amp; verify production practices</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef’s inclusion in dietary recommendations</td>
<td>☐ Measure &amp; improve sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Promote unique attributes of U.S. beef</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef safety</td>
<td>☐ Motivate producers &amp; stakeholders to engage</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; communicate beef’s nutritional benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Protect beef’s image</td>
<td>☐ Develop crises management plans</td>
<td>☐ Connect &amp; comm. with consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Engage beef advocates</td>
<td>☐ Attract, develop &amp; enable the next generation</td>
<td>☐ Improve product &amp; production efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Defend beef’s product identity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Committee(s) to Score this Tactic (Check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Export Growth</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>Nutrition &amp; Health</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Investor Relations</th>
<th>Mkt. Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tactic E

Tactic Name: Sustainability Research and Scientific Affairs

Tactic Description:

NCBA, on behalf of the beef checkoff, provides science-based evidence to inform and engage industry stakeholders on the comprehensive sustainability of beef. Though a complex topic for all audiences, sustainability research continues to provide clarity for audiences by identifying and benchmarking areas for improvement. Research in this program advances life cycle assessment science to measure beef sustainability using a balanced approach that includes social, economic, and environmental indicators.

Multiple audiences are targeted with this program work, including the scientific community, regulatory decision makers, the supply chain sectors (retail, foodservice, packers and the feeding sector), as well as those that influences consumer opinion (health professionals and consumer media).

Previous completion of the foundational U.S. beef sustainability life cycle assessment (LCA) has measured the beef industry’s improvements on beef sustainability footprint and provided a benchmark to share with the public. The collection of region-specific data continues to reflect the geographical differences in how beef is raised sustainably. Additionally, the science of life cycle assessments has evolved as the field matures and new techniques can more accurately reflect the true sustainability of beef. It is critical for the beef industry to better understand these complex topics to maintain consumer confidence in the way beef is produced today.

Research will be funded to measure improvements in beef sustainability in two of the three sustainability pillars (social, economic or environmental), including collecting data to benchmark and demonstrate beef’s unique positive contribution to society. Scientific outreach will focus on industry adoption of new evidence, measurement parameters, or opportunities that bring these key audiences together. Technical presentations (i.e., industry meetings, briefings, symposia at scientific meetings, etc.) will be identified to share key outcomes. Based on research, tools will continue to be developed that educate consumer and opinion leaders about beef sustainability (i.e., infographics, editorials, media interviews, etc.). The material will be shared through other NCBA checkoff programs (such as Masters of Beef Advocacy, supply chain and key opinion leader audiences, etc.) as well as other contractors to reach a variety of audiences that have questions about beef sustainability. Strategic planning sessions will be conducted as needed to document beef sustainability research gaps.
**Measurable Objectives** *(List at least three outcome-based objectives for this tactic):*

- Conduct a minimum of three original scientific research projects focused on understanding the sustainability of beef systems and the beef value chain.
- Inform a minimum of three audiences (i.e., scientific community, consumer or industry influencers, retail or foodservice, etc.) on how beef is sustainably raised today.
- Leverage a minimum of two sustainability research results across at least two tactical areas of the beef science communications framework.

**LRP Strategic Initiatives Addressed by this Tactic** *(Check all that apply)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drive Export Growth</th>
<th>Grow Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Protect &amp; Enhance Business Climate</th>
<th>Beef’s Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Adopt traceability systems</td>
<td>☐ Ensure antibiotic stewardship</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; innovate new production tech.</td>
<td>☐ Revolutionize beef marketing &amp; merchandising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Increase market access</td>
<td>☐ Certify &amp; verify production practices</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef’s inclusion in dietary recommendations</td>
<td>☐ Measure &amp; improve sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Promote unique attributes of U.S. beef</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef safety</td>
<td>☐ Motivate producers &amp; stakeholders to engage</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; communicate beef’s nutritional benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Protect beef’s image</td>
<td>☐ Develop crises management plans</td>
<td>☐ Connect &amp; comm. with consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Engage beef advocates</td>
<td>☐ Attract, develop &amp; enable the next generation</td>
<td>☐ Improve product &amp; production efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Committee(s) to Score this Tactic** *(Check all that apply)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Export Growth</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>Nutrition &amp; Health</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Investor Relations</th>
<th>Mkt. Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Tactic F**

**Tactic Name:** Channel Intelligence

**Tactic Description:**

NCBA, on behalf of the beef checkoff, provides insights that enable all checkoff programs to develop and implement more effective demand driving initiatives. Channel intelligence accomplishes this by leveraging a variety of industry data sources and other fact-based information to inform channel and marketing programs about key trends impacting the consumption of beef. It also plays an important role in the development of state, national and industry-wide annual and long-range plans by spotlighting key industry challenges and opportunities.

Decisions that impact the beef industry and ultimately beef consumption, must be fact-based to ensure industry resources are applied as efficiently as possible. While companies and organizations across the industry apply their efforts and resources to drive specific growth and profit, checkoff-funded channel intelligence efforts are focused exclusively on understanding those challenges and opportunities impacting part or all of the beef supply chain. No other entity plays this role as directly as the beef checkoff. Industry stakeholders, including state and national checkoff programs, rely on this beef checkoff funded intelligence to make smart, strategic and demand-driving decisions.

Specifically, this tactic will build on past industry metrics by continuing to monitor, analyze and disseminate beef retail and foodservice information to industry influencers/stakeholders to enhance performance, inform communications and leverage beef’s competitive advantage in the marketplace. The tactic will also provide knowledge on supply dynamics, prices, and pound volumes – by primal, cut, claim (such as production type, ethnic, breed, grade) and foodservice channel insights – along with featuring activity and menu trends, to assist checkoff communication teams, channel members, media, state boards, producers and others in planning and execution to strengthen beef’s value. Key data sources include retail volumes, price and featuring activity as well as foodservice menu activity. Insight specific to the competitive protein market (e.g., meat substitutes, chicken, pork, etc.) will also be gathered. All these data and insights will continue to inform checkoff programs including culinary, issues management, communications, and planning to ultimately promote and strengthen beef’s value proposition.
**Measurable Objectives** *(List at least three outcome-based objectives for this tactic):*

- Average 12 channel intelligence data touch points per month to broaden the reach of checkoff funded insights and support research and communications programs.
- Monitor and summarize meat substitute sales at retail and foodservice.
- Leverage a minimum of four channel intelligence results across at least one tactical areas of the beef science communications framework.
- Convey industry thought leadership by authoring monthly key topic articles on retail sales and foodservice trends for BIWFD website.

**LRP Strategic Initiatives Addressed by this Tactic** *(Check all that apply)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drive Export Growth</th>
<th>Grow Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Protect &amp; Enhance Business Climate</th>
<th>Beef’s Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Adopt traceability systems</td>
<td>☐ Ensure antibiotic stewardship</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; innovate new production tech.</td>
<td>☐ Revolutionize beef marketing &amp; merchandising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Increase market access</td>
<td>☐ Certify &amp; verify production practices</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef’s inclusion in dietary recommendations</td>
<td>☐ Measure &amp; improve sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Promote unique attributes of U.S. beef</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef safety</td>
<td>☐ Motivate producers &amp; stakeholders to engage</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; communicate beef’s nutritional benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Engage beef advocates</td>
<td>☐ Protect beef’s image</td>
<td>☐ Develop crises management plans</td>
<td>☐ Connect &amp; comm. with consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Attract, develop &amp; enable the next generation</td>
<td>☐ Improve product &amp; production efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Defend beef’s product identity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Committee(s) to Score this Tactic** *(Check all that apply)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Export Growth</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>Nutrition &amp; Health</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Investor Relations</th>
<th>Mkt. Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tactic G

Tactic Name: Foundational Market Research

Tactic Description:

NCBA, on behalf of the beef checkoff, provides insights that reach across checkoff demand building and issues management efforts. These studies accomplish this by assessing broad consumer/influencer/stakeholder attitudes, knowledge and needs, and by tracking progress towards key long-term goals. It also plays an important role in the development of state, national and industry-wide annual and long-range planning by identifying key industry challenges and opportunities.

Specifically, this tactic will include the tracking of the most important consumer attitudes, usage of and preference for beef. These studies monitor beef's ongoing competitive position in the marketplace related to beef's value proposition, focusing on key attributes that support the beef industry long range plan. This data is analyzed to uncover stronger industry perspective and support program development. Insights are disseminated widely across the industry and data is integrated into internal planning presentations, consumer and influencer PR programs, state trend overviews and supply-chain presentations focused on opportunities for increasing demand.

- The new consumer tracker, launched in June 2018, will continue to build on years of insight from past studies (e.g., CBI, CII). The tracker will gather feedback from 1,500 consumers each quarter focusing on beef attitudes and behaviors across a number of attributes including taste, nutrition and production, as well as insights specific to meat substitutes. The tracker is an ongoing survey with data summaries available as needed to support checkoff programs and provide key demand-driving insights.
- In conjunction with the new and enhanced consumer tracker, ongoing consumer segmentation analyses will be conducted to ensure checkoff-funded campaigns are focusing on the right targets, with the right message, at the right time.
- This tactic will also measure the ongoing effectiveness of checkoff-funded campaigns focused on taste, strength and responsibly raised beef. These measures provide a periodic read on how the various campaigns are impacting consumer perceptions of beef. These results serve to inform improvements to campaign planning and execution.
- In addition, consumer satisfaction with steak versus chicken will continue to be tracked.
Measurable Objectives (List at least three outcome-based objectives for this tactic):

- Share consumer tracker updates with all state beef council partners, key beef industry stakeholders and checkoff program managers to help ensure checkoff priority decisions continue to be data driven. Dissemination will include posting on relevant websites accessible by industry stakeholders and presentation of key findings (webinar or in-person) with at least fifteen state beef councils.
- Execute and present results of state dashboard measurements as requested. To date 17 states have participated in the program.
- Execute ongoing campaign measurement to understand how campaigns are performing. Results will be shared with industry stakeholders and state beef council partners.
- Leverage a minimum of four foundational market research results across at least one tactical areas of the beef science communications framework.

LRP Strategic Initiatives Addressed by this Tactic (Check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drive Export Growth</th>
<th>Grow Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Protect &amp; Enhance Business Climate</th>
<th>Beef’s Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Adopt traceability systems</td>
<td>☐ Ensure antibiotic stewardship</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; innovate new production tech.</td>
<td>☐ Revolutionize beef marketing &amp; merchandising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Increase market access</td>
<td>☐ Certify &amp; verify production practices</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef’s inclusion in dietary recommendations</td>
<td>☐ Measure &amp; improve sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Promote unique attributes of U.S. beef</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef safety</td>
<td>☐ Motivate producers &amp; stakeholders to engage</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; communicate beef’s nutritional benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Protect beef’s image</td>
<td>☐ Develop crises management plans</td>
<td>☐ Connect &amp; comm. with consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Engage beef advocates</td>
<td>☐ Attract, develop &amp; enable the next generation</td>
<td>☐ Improve product &amp; production efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Defend beef’s product identity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tactic H

**Tactic Name:** Key Topic Market Research

**Tactic Description:**

NCBA, on behalf of the beef checkoff, will explore key topic areas, with individual studies digging deep on a specific subject. An example is the research conducted to support the development and execution of the *Beef. It’s What’s for Dinner.* brand relaunch. Included in this tactic will be studies designed to inform program efforts in the areas of innovation, nutrition, issues management and others that address beef-specific challenges and opportunities. These studies focus primarily on the consumer, but strategic learnings from key influencers are considered and pursued as necessary.

Projects in this tactic planned for FY2020 will specifically support long range plan initiatives and committee priorities and fall into the following categories. Some examples include:

- **Nutrition** – Checkoff-funded studies in this area will directly support several long-range plan initiatives, including protecting beef’s image, communicating beef’s nutritional benefits and helping revolutionize the meat shopping experience by capturing key learnings from consumers and influencers about beef’s nutritional benefits.

- **Innovation** – Checkoff-funded studies in this area will support the development and execution of checkoff funded promotional campaigns and associated innovations. User assessments will continue to determine the impact of current online promotional programs and satisfaction with BeefItsWhatsForDinner.com to support supply chain efforts, understanding new paths to purchase, and evolving purchase behaviors (e.g., meat substitutes).

- **Issues/Stewardship** – Checkoff-funded studies in this area will directly support several long-range plan initiatives including ensuring beef’s safety, protecting beef’s image, and connecting & communicating directly with consumers through a variety of testing methodologies. Output will include key learnings about messaging, consumer perceptions of production, and proof points that relate to stewardship topics as well as issues response and preparedness.
Measurable Objectives (List at least three outcome-based objectives for this tactic):

- Conduct one study that supports campaign development designed to leverage beef’s taste advantage (e.g., message testing, video treatments, etc.). Disseminate insights that support beef demand with checkoff programs and industry stakeholders, including state beef councils.
- Conduct one study that supports campaign development designed to inform consumers and/or influencers about beef’s nutritional benefits (e.g., message testing, video treatments, etc.). Disseminate insights that support beef demand with checkoff programs and industry stakeholders, including state beef councils.
- Conduct one study that supports campaign development designed to inform consumers and/or influencers about how beef is responsibly raised (e.g., message testing, video treatments, etc.). Disseminate insights that support beef demand with checkoff programs and industry stakeholders, including state beef councils.

LRP Strategic Initiatives Addressed by this Tactic (Check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drive Export Growth</th>
<th>Grow Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Protect &amp; Enhance Business Climate</th>
<th>Beef’s Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Adopt traceability systems</td>
<td>☐ Ensure antibiotic stewardship</td>
<td>☐ Research &amp; innovate new production tech.</td>
<td>■ Revolutionize beef marketing &amp; merchandising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Increase market access</td>
<td>☐ Certify &amp; verify production practices</td>
<td>☐ Ensure beef’s inclusion in dietary recommendations</td>
<td>☐ Measure &amp; improve sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Promote unique attributes of U.S. beef</td>
<td>■ Ensure beef safety</td>
<td>■ Motivate producers &amp; stakeholders to engage</td>
<td>■ Research &amp; communicate beef’s nutritional benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Protect beef’s image</td>
<td>☐ Develop crises management plans</td>
<td>■ Connect &amp; comm. with consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Engage beef advocates</td>
<td>☐ Attract, develop &amp; enable the next generation</td>
<td>☐ Improve product &amp; production efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Defend beef’s product identity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Committee(s) to Score this Tactic (Check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumer Trust</th>
<th>Export Growth</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>Nutrition &amp; Health</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Investor Relations</th>
<th>Mkt. Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR THIS AR

1. Will all work detailed in this AR be completed by the end of the fiscal year?
   No

*If not, please provide an explanation.*

No, the work of the programs in tactic A, B, C and E will be completed by the end of the AR (September 30, 2022). The plans exceed 12 months due to time needed for a request for proposals (RFP) and/or targeted research planning, project design meetings, industry expert review of proposals and contract negotiation. Project approvals by university campus committees may also be required if live animals will be used in the research (Animal Care & Use Committee) and in case of human subjects, Institutional Review Board reviews. These standard requirements for biological science research take additional time before a project can begin. Therefore, to provide an ample timeline for project work to be completed, additional time is needed (24 - 36 months in total).

Market Research and Intelligence – No, the market research plan extends to December 30, 2020. All work is planned for completion by that time. As in the past, the additional time is required to execute market research projects with completion anticipated within 15 months. This time is also needed to allow for data analysis and report generation.

2. Please explain changes from FY 2019 approved AR:

   The research tactics A, B, C and E build upon research priorities of the previous year as the research roadmaps are for five years, aligning with the current Long-Range Plan. However, the scientific acceptance efforts continue to develop from previous years. The above tactics indicate a continued narrower focus as resources have become more limited. Outreach and education activities continue to be essential to spur implementation/adoPTION of science and technical knowledge.

3. List any proposed subcontractor/agencies that will be used to complete the work in this AR.

   Various laboratories, universities, health science centers and their affiliated research scientists, independent research companies, government agencies, and other contractors and vendors will be used to complete the research program outlined (tactics A, B, C and E). Subcontractors will be chosen via a request for proposals process and/or through the development of targeted research projects that are based on previous research expertise, budget rational, and industry need and committee priorities.

   In tactic D, professional food photographers/stylists may be utilized.

   IPSOS U.S. Public Affairs, Google Analytics and Toluna have solutions for consumer issues and consumer preference research that provide for speedier
results. Work related to consumer tracking (i.e., formerly the Consumer Beef Index and Consumer Image Index) will be conducted by Directions Research. They were assessed and selected after a competitive bid process due to their deeper knowledge of consumer tracking and segmentation analysis. Technomic will continue to provide results from the foodservice volumetric study. They also provide a suite of strategic services and proprietary databases used by channel intelligence to explore and act on a variety of foodservice insights. Freshlook (IRI) and Meat Solutions have built a custom system for analyzing the value of beef sold at retail, starting with scanner data, and reassembling cuts back to beef primals, so that long-term value to producers can be tracked. Other contractors will be selected based on expertise in respective areas (e.g., nutrition) and will be part of the competitive bid process.

4. **Will all work with subcontractors be competitively bid?**

No

**If not, why not?**

Various laboratories, universities, health science centers and their affiliated research scientists, independent research companies, government agencies, and other contractors and vendors will be used to complete the research program outlined (tactics A, B, C and E). Subcontractors will be chosen via a Request for Proposals process and/or through the development of targeted research projects that are based on previous research expertise, budget rational, and industry need and committee priorities.

In tactic D, professional food photographers/stylists may be utilized.

IPSOS U.S. Public Affairs, Google Analytics and Toluna have solutions for consumer issues and consumer preference research that provide for speedier results. Work related to consumer tracking (i.e., formerly the Consumer Beef Index and Consumer Image Index) will be conducted by Directions Research. They were assessed and selected after a competitive bid process due to their deeper knowledge of consumer tracking and segmentation analysis. Technomic will continue to provide results from the volumetric study. They also provide a suite of strategic services and proprietary databases used by channel intelligence to explore and act on a variety of foodservice insights. Freshlook (IRI) and Meat Solutions have built a custom system for analyzing the value of beef sold at retail, starting with scanner data, and reassembling cuts back to beef primals, so that long-term value to producers can be tracked. Other contractors will be selected based on expertise in respective areas (e.g., nutrition) and will be part of the competitive bid process.
5. Please list any relationships between this AR and projects previously funded by the Operating Committee:
The programs in tactics A, B, C, D and E builds upon, but does not duplicate work from previous ARs and is leveraged by this, other checkoff programs, key industry stakeholders and the research community.

Some of the research included in this AR is ongoing tracking research that has been funded for a number of years (Foodservice Volumetric, IRI). It is important that the industry stay current on consumer trends and perceptions in order to ensure that the industry is properly responding to changes as they occur.
### Source of Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Funding</th>
<th>Direct Costs</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBB/BPOC Funding Request</td>
<td>$3,886,203</td>
<td>$4,493,400</td>
<td>$8,379,603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Potential Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federation of SBCs Pledges: (Informational Only)</th>
<th>Direct Costs</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Funding: (Informational Only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Direct Costs</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** The Federation funds are placeholders only and subject to change. The NCBA Executive Committee will have jurisdiction and approval over how these funds will be applied to checkoff programs in FY2020.

**NOTE:** For fiscal year 2020, if the threat of legal challenge does not impact State Beef Council investments, approximately $5 million will be voluntarily invested by SBCs to supplement NCBA’s ARs. This assumes the underlying ARs are funded at a sufficient level to execute the core elements of the program. The supplemental funding will be used consistent with the principles outlined in the AR and deliverables for the industry will increase accordingly.

### CBB/BPOC Funding Request:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee Name</th>
<th>Tactic</th>
<th>Tactic Name</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Safety Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>BPOC</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$402,200</td>
<td>$1,002,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition and Health</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Nutrition Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>BPOC</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
<td>$741,400</td>
<td>$2,041,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Product Research and Technical Expertise</td>
<td>BPOC</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$72,100</td>
<td>$672,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Culinary</td>
<td>BPOC</td>
<td>$151,203</td>
<td>$1,463,500</td>
<td>$1,614,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Trust</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Sustainability Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>BPOC</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
<td>$445,600</td>
<td>$1,095,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Channel Intelligence</td>
<td>BPOC</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
<td>$536,600</td>
<td>$796,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Trust &amp; Innovation</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Foundational Market Research</td>
<td>BPOC</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$404,100</td>
<td>$529,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition and Health &amp; Safety</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Key Topic Market Research</td>
<td>BPOC</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$427,900</td>
<td>$627,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AR Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3,886,203</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,493,400</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,379,603</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Federation of SBCs Pledges: (Informational Only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee Name</th>
<th>Tactic</th>
<th>Tactic Name</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Safety Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>Federation</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition and Health</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Nutrition Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>Federation</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Product Research and Technical Expertise</td>
<td>Federation</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Culinary</td>
<td>Federation</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Trust</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Sustainability Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>Federation</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Channel Intelligence</td>
<td>Federation</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Trust &amp; Innovation</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Foundational Market Research</td>
<td>Federation</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition and Health &amp; Safety</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Key Topic Market Research</td>
<td>Federation</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AR Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,500,000</strong></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td><strong>1,500,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** The Federation funds are placeholders only and subject to change. The NCBA Executive Committee will have jurisdiction and approval over how these funds will be applied to checkoff programs in FY2020.

**NOTE:** For fiscal year 2020, if the threat of legal challenge does not impact State Beef Council investments, approximately $5 million will be voluntarily invested by SBCs to supplement NCBA’s ARs. This assumes the underlying ARs are funded at a sufficient level to execute the core elements of the program. The supplemental funding will be used consistent with the principles outlined in the AR and deliverables for the industry will increase accordingly.
### Other Funding: (Informational Only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Tactic</th>
<th>Tactic Name</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Safety Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 600,000</td>
<td>$ 402,200</td>
<td>$ 1,002,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition and Health</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Nutrition Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 1,300,000</td>
<td>$ 741,400</td>
<td>$ 2,041,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Product Research and Technical Expertise</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 600,000</td>
<td>$ 72,100</td>
<td>$ 672,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Trust</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Culinary</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 151,203</td>
<td>$ 1,463,500</td>
<td>$ 1,614,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition and Health &amp; Safety</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Sustainability Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 650,000</td>
<td>$ 445,600</td>
<td>$ 1,095,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Channel Intelligence</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 260,000</td>
<td>$ 536,600</td>
<td>$ 796,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Trust &amp; Innovation</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Foundational Market Research</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 125,000</td>
<td>$ 404,100</td>
<td>$ 529,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition and Health &amp; Safety</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Key Topic Market Research</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 200,000</td>
<td>$ 427,900</td>
<td>$ 627,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AR Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 3,886,203</td>
<td>$ 4,493,400</td>
<td>$ 8,379,603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Cost Summary for All Funding Sources: (Informational only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Tactic</th>
<th>Tactic Name</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Safety Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 600,000</td>
<td>$ 402,200</td>
<td>$ 1,002,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition and Health</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Nutrition Research and Scientific Affairs</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 1,300,000</td>
<td>$ 741,400</td>
<td>$ 2,041,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Product Research and Technical Expertise</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 600,000</td>
<td>$ 72,100</td>
<td>$ 672,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Trust</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Culinary</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 151,203</td>
<td>$ 1,463,500</td>
<td>$ 1,614,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Trust &amp; Innovation</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Foundational Market Research</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 125,000</td>
<td>$ 404,100</td>
<td>$ 529,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition and Health &amp; Safety</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Key Topic Market Research</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$ 200,000</td>
<td>$ 427,900</td>
<td>$ 627,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AR Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 8,450,000</td>
<td>$ 4,477,900</td>
<td>$ 12,927,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summary of Prior Year Budget:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2019 Approved Budget</th>
<th>CBB/BPOC</th>
<th>FSBCs</th>
<th>Other Source(s)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Direct Cost</th>
<th>Impl.</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR Totals</td>
<td>$ 8,450,000</td>
<td>$ 4,477,900</td>
<td>$ 3,972,100</td>
<td>$ 8,450,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FY 2019 Actual Expenses (through June 30, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBB/BPOC</th>
<th>FSBCs</th>
<th>Other Source(s)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Direct Cost</th>
<th>Impl.</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR Totals</td>
<td>$ 4,440,545</td>
<td>$ 209,402</td>
<td>$ 4,649,947</td>
<td>$ 1,500,604</td>
<td>$ 2,939,941</td>
<td>$ 4,440,545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Historical Summary of Budgets and Expense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Approved Budgets</th>
<th>Total Actual Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR Totals</td>
<td>$ 8,350,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POTENTIAL PARTNERSHIP LIST
FY 2020
AR Number: 2011-R

Please list all potential partners/collaborators* for the related AR and details including the nature and extent of collaboration: (include any partnership and/or collaborations with a third party by identifying the third party, the nature of the collaboration and extent of the collaboration.)

1. Industry Organizations and Trade Association including:
   a. Food Marketing Institute (FMI)
   b. International Food Information Council (IFIC)
   c. American Meat Science Association (AMSA)
   d. U. S. Meat Export Federation (USMEF)
   e. Research Chef’s Association (RCA)
   f. American Heart Association (AHA)
   g. Academy of Science and Nutrition (ASN)
   h. North American Meat Institute (NAMI) and Foundation (NAMIF)
   i. International Association of Food Protection (IAFP)
   j. Institute of Food Technologist (IFT)
   k. American Culinary Federation (ACF)
   l. International Meat Secretariat (IMS)

Each may be a potential partner/collaborator to conduct or extend research.

2. Other commodity-like groups
   a. Dairy Management Inc.
   b. National Dairy Council
   c. Dairy Research Institute
   d. American Egg Board
   e. Egg Nutrition Center
   f. National Pork Board
   g. US. Egg & Poultry

Each may be a potential partner/collaborator to conduct or extend research.

*Partners/collaborators does NOT include subcontractors listed in AR section V.C.

Subcontractor Info.

Required per USDA Letter dated June 19, 2013